UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,023	04/19/2004	Bruce Blazar	46483-0096	2495
23973 DDINIKED DII	7590 10/15/2007 DDLE & REATH	EXAMINER		
ATTN: INTEL	LECTUAL PROPERTY	MITCHELL, LAURA MCGILLEM		
ONE LOGAN 18TH AND C	SQUARE HERRY STREETS		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPH	IIA, PA 19103-6996		1636	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		10/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/827,023	BLAZAR ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Laura M. Mitchell	1636	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 September 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CI	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	ı
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	ille issues ioi
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	• _•		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11 and 26-29</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11 and 20-29</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
•			,
	•		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments filed after final rejection will not be entered because they raise the issue of new matter. Specifically, claim 4 has been amended to recite the phrase "less than 10 microliters of said anti-CD25 magnetic microbeads per 107 total cells". The instant specification does not support any amount less than 10 microliters and only appears to support an amount of 2 microliters per 107 total cells (see paragraph 0185) or 1/5 of the manufacturers recommendation (see paragraph 0088). The claim limitation of less than 10 microliters per 107 total cells encompasses not only 2 microliters but also encompasses 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 1 or 0.5 microliters per 107 total cells. These limitations are not supported by the specification and would therefore constitute new matter. In addition, claim 1 has been amended to modify the phrase "lower titer of anti-CD25" to "anti-CD25 magnetic microbeads". By removing the phrase "lower titer" Applicants have broadened the scope of the claims.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Once a final rejection that is not premature has been entered in an application, applicant or patent owner no longer has any right to unrestricted further prosecution. For reasons given above, and on the grounds that the amended claims potentially include new matter, the amended claims have not been entered. The amendments do not place the application either in condition for allowance or in better form for appeal. Applicant is invited to review MPEP 714.12.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura McGillem whose telephone number is (571) 272-8783. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Woitach can be reached on (571) 272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Laura McGillem Mitchell, PhD Examiner 10/9/2007

CELINE QIAN, PH.D.